IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO: 20042 of 2002

Between:

1 Midde Rathan Kishore S/o. Venkateswara Rao
R/o. Eluru, West Godavari District.
2 Josyula Mehar Kumar S/o. Late Venkateswara Rao
R/o. Eluru, West Godavari District.
3 B.Solomon Raju S/o. B.George
R/o. Eluru, West Godavari District.
4 Tagaram Rajendra Prasad S/o. Yesuratnam
R/o. Eluru, West Godavari District.
5 Dammu Ramesh S/o. Sriramulu
R/o. Eluru, West Godavari District.

... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Principal, Sir C.R.R. Law College,
Eluru, West Godavari District.
2 The Registrar, Andhra University,
Waltair, Visakhapatnam.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not accommodating the petitioners in 3-Year B.L. day course after closing evening college is illegal, arbitrary, erroneous, unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents to accommodate the petitioner in 3-Year B.L. Day Course and permit them to sit for the examinations to be conducted for the said course and be pleased.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.Y.V.RAVI PRASAD

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: MR.KODANDA RAM CHALLA

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Mr. Rajendra Prasad

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not accommodating the petitioners in 3 years B.L. Day Course after closing evening college, is illegal and unconstitutional and for a consequential direction to accommodate the petitioner in 3-years B.L. Day Course and permit them to sit for the examination to be conducted for the said course.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the interim orders dated 27-12-2002, passed by this Court in W.P.M.P. No. 25131 of 2002, no further orders need be passed in the writ petition and that it has become infructuous.

Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J

June 22, 2005

MRR

Assistant Registrar

// True Copy //

Section Officer

COPY TO. –

The Registrar, Andhra University, Waltair, Visakhapatnam.

Two C.D. Copies.